er sheet with the corresp CLOSED in this application riate communication will be plication is subject to withd 18.	ondence address— In. If not included e malled in due course. THIS irawal from issue at the initiative al stage application from the ying with the requirements ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
rer sheet with the corresp CLOSED in this application relate communication will be plication is subject to with dis. 119(a)-(d) or (f). In Application No een received in this national cation to file a reply comply dication.	ondence address— In. If not included e mailed in due course. THIS Irawal from issue at the initiative al stage application from the lying with the requirements
rer sheet with the corresp of CLOSED in this application relate communication will be plication is subject to with displication in this national displication.	ondence address— in. If not included e mailed in due course. THIS frawal from issue at the initiative al stage application from the lying with the requirements
CLOSED in this application related communication will be plication is subject to with the plication	en. If not included a mailed in due course. THIS trawal from issue at the initiative all stage application from the sying with the requirements
in Application No een received in this national cation to file a reply comply lication.	ying with the requirements ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
in Application No een received in this national cation to file a reply comply lication.	ying with the requirements ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
in Application No een received in this national cation to file a reply comply lication.	ying with the requirements ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
in Application No een received in this national cation to file a reply comply lication.	ying with the requirements ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
lication. Itached EXAMINER'S AME	ENDMENT or NOTICE OF
wing Review (PTO-948) a Comment or in the Office a written on the drawings in t	ction of
ICAL MATERIAL must b DSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MA	
Interview Summary (PTO- Paper No./Mail Date Examiner's Amendment/C Examiner's Statement of F	413), omment
	Notice of Informal Patent A Interview Summary (PTO- Paper No./Mail Date Examiner's Amendment/C Examiner's Statement of F Other

Application/Control Number: 10/685,853

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response filed May 18, 2005. Claims 17-23 were canceled and claims 1-16 are now pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-16 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The present claims are allowable over the closest references: Rowland et al. (US 6,114,486).

A polyethylene film formed from a modified polyethylene which is prepared by treating		
polyethylene	MI 2.16 = about 0.10 to about 7.0 and	
	Mw/Mn = 3 to 7	
to increase long chain branching by an amount sufficient		
to decrease the rate at which water vapor passes through a film of the modified polyethylene as		
compared to a similar film of the unmodified polyethylene		

(summary of claim 1)

Rowland et al. disclose that a modified ethylene polymer is obtained by treating an ethylene polymer with an organic peroxide to increase the molecular weight distribution and/or the melt flow ratio, but less than the amount causing more than 0.5 wt% gel formation, wherein

Art Unit: 1713

the ethylene polymer has melt index at least about 0.1 gm/10 minutes; the molecular weight distribution (Mw/Mn) of about 2; and I_{10} / I_2 ratio at least about 5.63 and is obtained in the presence of a Ziegler Natta catalyst (col. 7, lines 35-37; col. 10, lines 3-17; col. 13, lines 10-29; col. 21, lines 12-25; Table 1). However, Rowland et al. do not teach or fairly suggest a polyethylene film formed from a modified polyethylene which is prepared by treating a polyethylene having MI 2.16 = about 0.10 to about 7.0 and Mw/Mn = 3 to 7 to increase long chain branching by an amount sufficient to decrease the rate at which water vapor passes through a film of the modified polyethylene as compared to a similar film of the unmodified polyethylene.

In light of the above discussion, it is evident as to why the present claims are patentable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner=s supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,853

Art Unit: 1713

.....

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 5, 2005

Page 4